

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,916	05/31/2001	Akram Abboud	RPS920010034US1	7778

7590 06/09/2004

BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.
Suite 350
Lakewood on the Park
7600B North Capital of Texas Highway
Austin, TX 78731

EXAMINER

PATEL, NITIN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/870,916

Applicant(s)

ABBOUD ET AL.

Examiner

Nitin C. Patel

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date May 31, 2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 27 are presented for the examination.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Serial number is missing on line 10 of page 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said partitioned hard-drive" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "said partitioned hard-drive" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2116

5. Claims 1 – 27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,636,958.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to substantially the same invention including automatically re-provisioning of appliance server with new application by determining from parameters provided by an image file received at control logic of hard drive when corresponding application to be loaded into first partition of hard drive requires more space than available within first partition, responsive to determining step, triggering control logic to dynamically extend first partition [re-provisioning] into an adjacent [second] partition to an amount of additional disk space required by application, and subsequently installing application into first partition by initiating decompressed files [claims 1 – 3, 4 – 9, 10 – 13, 14 - 20].

6. Every elements of claim 1 in application is taught by patent claims 14 – 17 except removing of a first application from first partition, which is inherent to dynamic install element of claim 9 in patent.

7. Elements of claim 2 and 3 of application for creating image file, and parameter file is inherently taught by receiving of image file and determining parameters of image file of claim 14 of patent.

8. Element of claim 4 of removing image file to storage location is inherently taught by dynamic install element of claim 9 in patent.

9. Loading step first downloads second application as an image file from a storage location on network of claim 5 of application is disclosed in claim 16 of patent.

Art Unit: 2116

10. Different partitions of hard-drive and its utility in claim 6 of application are disclosed in claim 17 – 18 of patent.
11. Restoring network parameters to appliance server with rebooting in claim 7 of application is taught inherently by rebooting and execution with new application by claim 18 of patent.
12. Expansion of NOS partition into float partition when it is determined that size of NOS is not sufficient in claims 7, and 8 of application is taught by claim 17 of patent.
13. Elements of claim 10 are taught in claims 10 - 12 of patent application.
14. Elements of claim 11 and 12 of application for creating image file, and parameter file is inherently taught by receiving of image file and determining parameters of image file of claim 10 of patent.
15. File transfer protocol [FTP] of claim 13 in application is inherently taught by downloading of image file in claim 12 of patent.
16. Loading step first downloads second application as an image file from a storage location on network of claim 14 of application is disclosed in claim 16 of patent.
17. Different partitions of hard-drive and its utility in claim 15 of application are disclosed in claim 13 of patent.
18. Restoring network parameters to appliance server with rebooting in claim 16 of application is taught inherently by rebooting and execution with new application by claim 18 of patent.
19. Expansion of NOS partition into float partition when it is determined that size of NOS is not sufficient in claims 17, and 18 of application is taught by claim 13 of patent.

Art Unit: 2116

20. Elements of hard-drive partitions, and re-provisioning of claim 19 of application are taught in claim 1 and 4 – 9 of patent.
21. Automatic removal of application in claim 20 of application is taught inherently by automatically re-provisioning by dynamic install element of claim 9 in patent.
22. Element of claim 21 of application for creating image file is taught inherently by receiving of image file and determining parameters of image file of claim 14 of patent.
23. Different partitions of hard-drive and its utility in claim 22 of application are disclosed in claims 5 - 6 of patent.
24. Program code to reboot appliance server during re-provisioning in claim 23 of application is taught by claim 11 of patent.
25. Image file transfer in claim 24 of application is taught inherently by downloading and storing of image files in claim 7 of patent.
26. Every element in claim 25 of application is taught in claims 4, 5, 7, 9, and 16 except file transfer protocol backbone, which is an inherent element to network.
27. Automatically removing of application program in claim 26 of application is inherently taught by dynamic install element of claim 9 in patent.
28. Each element of claim 27 of application are taught in claim 14 – 18 of patent except creating image file, which is inherently taught by receiving of image file and determining parameters of image file of claim 14 [receiving image file i.e. somewhere somehow it is created].

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2116

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin C. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-3994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Brown can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nitin C. Patel
June 2, 2004


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ~~3600~~ 2100